

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/756,133 | FLEISCHER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Carol Chaney | 1745 | |

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

- (1) Carol Chaney. (3) _____
(2) Dority & Manning, P.A.. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 August 2003 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Attorney of record indicated the application is considered to be unintentionally abandoned, and a petition to revive the application will be forthcoming.

- Part III.**
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 - ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)